

Tactical-National Intelligence Interface Studies

Report on the

PILOT STUDY ON NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO FIELD COMMANDERS

Top Secret

25X1

Сору

)

Warning Notice Sensitive Intelligence Sources and Methods Involved (WNINTEL)

NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Criminal Sanctions

DISSEMINATION CONTROL ABBREVIATIONS

NOFORN-Not Releasable to Foreign Nationals NOCONTRACT— Not Releasable to Contractors or

Contractor/Consultants

PROPIN-Caution--Proprietary Information Involved

USIBONLY-

USIB Departments Only
Dissemination and Extraction of Information ORCON-

Controlled by Originator

REL . . . — This Information has been Authorized for

Release to . . .

Classified by TKH-1
Exempt from General Declassification Schedule of E.O. 11652, exemption category:

§ 5B(2), and (3)
Automatically declassified on:
date impossible to determine

Approved For Release	2003/12/09	: CIA-RDP82M00311R0002	00020001-8
		. CIATION OF WOOD I II COOF	00020001-0

25X1 TOP SECRET

Tactical-National Intelligence Interface Studies

REPORT ON THE PILOT STUDY ON NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO FIELD COMMANDERS

12 January 1976

TOP SECRET			
		_	
	Distribution:		
	1—Chairman, Joint C		
		for the Intelligence Community	
	3-12—Deputy Director of		
		taff for Intelligence, Department of the ntelligence, Department of the Navy	ie Army
		Staff, Intelligence, Department of the	Air Ford
		nce, Headquarters Marine Corps	
		ntelligence Agency (Attn: DIA DP)	
	34-36—Director, National		
		etary of the Air Force for Space Syste	
		ce Directorate, US European Commar	ıd
	40—Director, Joint Staf	_	
	41—Director for Plans	and rolley (J-5), OJGS	

43-47—Joint Staff, OJCS (for further distribution) 48-75—IC Staff Registry

42-Principal Deputy for Planning, Intelligence Community Staff

TOP SECRET

iv

25X1

TOP SECRET

CONTENTS

	Page
Executive Summary	1
Chapter I—Introduction	I-1
Purpose	I-1 I-1 I-2 I-3 I-4 I-5
Chapter II—Scenario Development and Study Assumptions	II-1
Contingency Plan Assumptions for the Pilot Study Pilot Study Scenario	II-1 II-2 II-3
Chapter III—Theater Information Needs and Intelligence Capabilities	III-1
Theater Information Needs	III-1 III-3 III-5 III-5 III-7
Chapter IV—National Foreign Intelligence Capabilities	IV-1
National Foreign Intelligence Assets Availability National Strategic EEI National Assets Assessment of National Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI National Foreign Intelligence Assets in Total Categories of EEI	IV-1 IV-2 IV-3 IV-8 IV-10

TOP SECRET		
		Page
Chapter V—Conclusions and I	Recommendations	V-1
	rt	V-1 V-7
	ANNEXES	
		Page
Appendix 1—Terms of Refe Appendix 2—Co-Chairmen'	rences Memorandum of 20 February 1975 of Agreement of 2 November 1973	A-i A-1 A-7 A-9
Appendix 1—Study Group Appendix 2—National Work	Membership King Group Membership King Group Membership	B-i B-1 B-3 B-5
Appendix 1—Theater Work Appendix 2—Theater Work Appendix 3—Theater Work Appendix 4—National Work Appendix 5—National Work	Capability to Satisfy Theater EEI ing Group Methodology Instructions ing Group Application of Methodology ing Group Assumptions sting Group Methodology Instructions sting Group Application of Methodology sting Group Assumptions	C-i C-1 C-3 C-7 C-9 C-11 C-15
D. Theater Information Needs Appendix 1—USEUCOM N Subject: Essential Elemen Appendix 2—Criteria for De Appendix 3—Distribution of Appendix 4—Distribution of Appendix 5—Distribution of Appendix 6—Distribution of Appendix 7—Distribution of Appendix 8—Distribution of Appendix 8—Distribution of Appendix 9—Distribution of	Memorandum of 27 February 1975, ts of Information (U) evelopment of EEI Sample f EEI Among Priorities f EEI Among Timeliness Values f Timeliness Values Among Priority 1 EEI f Timeliness Values Among Priority 2 EEI f Timeliness Values Among Priority 3 EEI f Timeliness Values Among Priority 4 EEI f Timeliness Values Among Priority 5 EEI	D-i D-1 D-11
vi	TOP SEC	CRET

25X1	TOP SEC	CRET
÷	Page	
5X1	Appendix 11—Map of Middle East Showing Countries and D-29 Appendix 12—Map of Eastern Europe Showing Countries and	
.5X1	E. Theater Collection and Reporting Capabilities	
	Appendix 1—Representative Collection and Reporting Assets by Force Element E-1 Appendix 2—Capabilities of Representative Theater Assets E-5	
	F. National Strategic EEI F-1	
	G. National Foreign Intelligence Collection and Reporting Capabilities G-1 Appendix 1—Satellite Systems G-7 Appendix 2—Airborne Systems G-13 Appendix 3—Non-satellite SIGINT Systems G-17 Appendix 4—HUMINT Systems G-19	
	H. Definitions and Abbreviations H-1	
	I. Related Studies	
	J. Assessment Matrices (under separate cover) J-i	

25X1	TOP SECRET	
e e		
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
25X1	A. PURPOSE AND STUDY ORGANIZATION	
25X1	1. The Pilot Study on National Intelligence Support to Field Commanders responds to an agreement between the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Central Intelligence to conduct a pilot study to size problems in the tactical-national intelligence interface, develop study procedures, and gain insight into possibilities for mutual support between the national foreign intelligence community and the military	
	operating forces. 2. The study provides a basis for recommendations by the Joint Chiefs of	
25X1	Staff regarding National Foreign Intelligence Program support that could be made	
25X1 25X1	available to satisfy theater intelligence needs for a contingency operation in the and follow-on studies of the tactical-national intelligence interface. In addition, the study assesses theater capabilities to meet sample national requirements for the contingency situation, and identifies and documents other actions that relate to tactical-national intelligence relationships. 3. The study group was chaired jointly by representatives of the	
	Secretary of Defense and the Director of Central Intelligence, who also served as the Joint Staff and IC Staff members of the study group. Other study group members were appointed by each military Service, CIA, USEUCOM, DIA, NSA and NRO. A Theater Working Group, chaired by DIA, developed and assessed theater information needs and capabilities. A National Working Group chaired by the IC Staff developed and assessed national foreign intelligence information needs and capabilities.	25X1
25X1	B. BACKGROUND, TERMS OF REFERENCE AND SCOPE	
25X1	1. The most critical aspect of the pilot study is the comparison of national-level foreign intelligence needs and capabilities with those of the operating forces. Competing priorities, relationships between operations and intelligence and between resources and capabilities, and responsibilities for equipping, maintaining, and commanding the military forces have caused the JCS and the Services to believe that tactical commanders cannot count on the continuing availability of national intelligence support in wartime. In their operational and force development planning, therefore, they believe it essential that military departments and commands retain and control their organic and direct support intelligence capabilities.	

1

то	P SECRET	
25X1	2. Over the past several years, management interest in intelligence tended to focus on achieving savings by eliminating duplication and consolidar intelligence activities across. Services and agencies under executive agents. The resource implications have tended to cause legitimate concern among the Services that their resource management responsibilities for and command control organic and direct support intelligence assets could be adversely affected, degrade the war-fighting capabilities of the forces.	ting The ices over
25X1	3. Terms of Reference for this pilot study are at Appendix 1, Annex A. study group found it necessary to permit some deviations from the Terms Reference. These deviations, detailed in Chapter I, affected procedures, but not purpose, direction or intent of the pilot study. The following are the most signific deviations:	s of the
25X1	a. To consider only theater intelligence and related assets assigned the Joint Task Force committed to the contingency operation in the study scenar	rio.
25X1	b. To use sample essential elements of information (EEI) developed the working group chairmen and USEUCOM representatives.	l by
25X1	c. To omit the explicit determination of the effect that additional task for the contingency situation would have on the primary missions of national systematic and the quality of their responsiveness.	
25X1	d. To omit determination of peacetime tasking of national systems to could be reduced or terminated to accommodate tasking for the continge situation.	
25X1	e. To consider only national intelligence capabilities in or directly related to the NFIP.	ctly
25X1	4. To create a manageable situation for a first, in-depth effort to understathe complexities of tactical-national relationships, the scope of the pilot study limited:	
i İ		
25X1	b. To those theater intelligence forces available to USCINCEUR for specific contingency situation;	the
25X1	c. To intelligence capabilities operational by 31 December 1974; a d. Γο the political situation that obtained during the October 1973 N East war.	
25X1	C. SCENARIO AND STUDY ASSUMPTIONS	
25X1	1. USCINCEUR proposed USEUCOM	\Box
25X1 25X1	as the source for the pilot study scenario. Chapter II discusses USEUCC and scenario development. This scenario permitted assessment obroad range of national intelligence assets against representative theater EEI, a consideration of intelligence priorities between the national and theater levels.	of a

2

TOP SECRET

25X1

<i></i>		
25X1		OP SECRET
25X1	2. Chapter II lists a number of assumptions made by the study group that affected the outcome of the study. The most significant among these were: that the contingency situation posed threats of Soviet attacks against the U.S. and the European central region; that EEI provided for the pilot study were a true representative sample; that theater collection and reporting assets identified by USCINCEUR would be available and would operate against theater EEI; that all	e e e y
7 	national and departmental level collection and reporting assets in the NFIP wer available for consideration for tasking against theater EEI; and that adequat resources (funds and manpower) would be available for national and theate collection and reporting assets.	e e
25X1	D. THEATER INFORMATION NEEDS AND INTELLIGENCE CAPABILITIES	3
25X1	1. For this pilot study, a sample of 82 EEI represented theater information needs for the conduct of conventional offensive operations under the scenario. The sample has at least one entry for each type of EEI that would be included in a comprehensive listing. Since the sample is not comprehensive, however it does not provide a basis for analysis of requirements in quantitative terms identification of recurrent requirements, volume assessments, or application of the priorities established by USEUCOM and its component commands.	e e ;
25X1		
25X1	3. Most theater intelligence assets assessed in the study are organic to combat units assigned to the Joint Task Force. Of the 40 units and activities included 24 are HUMINT, six COMINT, two IMAGERY, three MASINT, and five multisensor. Only six of these assets are in the NFIP.	l ,
25X1 ^{-/}	ENATIONAL FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE CAPABILITIES AND NATIONAL STRATEGIC EEI	D
25X1,	1. National foreign intelligence assets available for collecting and reportin against theater EEI were selected on the basis of their availability and apparer capability to support a theater commander without a system or design change. The deployment of national intelligence assets for the study was based on actual experience in crises.	nt e
25X1	2. In some cases, study assumptions for use of national assets permitted assessments despite problem areas beyond the scope of the study. These assumption permitted a data base to be established under near-ideal conditions. Effects individual, real-world problems could then be applied to individual systems an groupings of systems.	ns of
25X1,	3. The study group found no officially approved national strategic EI applicable to a worldwide crisis, such as was postulated by USCINCEUR for the	EI ne .

3

TOP SECRET	
Working Group and agreed basis for judgments as to the	ned national strategic EEI was developed by the National to by the National Intelligence Officers. They provided a seextent to which national assets might be available to of the 57 assumed national strategic EEI, 40 are identical cluded in the evaluation.
F. CONCLUSIONS	AND RECOMMENDATIONS*
a Conclusion: A aspects of tactical-national way to improving intelligence only limited effort to pull to the lessons learned from the Recommend JCS-IC Staff element should national intelligence interfespecific problem areas, and b Conclusion: operations in a combat envinterface. To the combat corn National intelligence assets requirements in combat are in Recommend continue jointly to make nation conflict situations. Deverationalize the division of large property of the continue in the division of large process.	
needs and priorities in wart	
requested to address this pr d. Conclusion: T of the dynamics of the opera would be subject to attrition, can be assessed best in a ga Recommen	he static matrix approach did not permit consideration tional environment. In wartime, intelligence capabilities countermeasures and communications degradation that aming approach. dation: The JCS and IC Staff should jointly assess
e. Conclusion. Venational) can offset significant could be improved, for example areas of interest that will be	gies for use in any follow-on studies. Vith adequate planning, each set of assets (theater and it deficiencies in the other. The entire intelligence picture ple, by informing field commanders of the targets in their tasked to national assets. National systems could cover bilities of external and tasked to receive the entire tasks.
notes that less stringent criteria were u	bilities of national and tactical assets to respond to established EEI, DIA tilized in measuring the abilities of national assets to respond than was the

case for tactical assets.

TOP SECRET

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1	TOP SECRET
	some high-priority theater targets, permitting tactical commanders to employ their organic and direct support intelligence assets on combat intelligence needs that they otherwise would not be able to cover.
25X1	Recommendation: Develop procedures to coordinate national targets with theater collection plans at appropriate levels, to include a mechanism for rapidly notifying commanders of targets scheduled for national assets.
25X1	f. Conclusion: Theater assets supporting the Joint Task Force have an even or better than even chance of satisfying about 80 percent of the theater EEI sample. The majority of theater EEI that could not be covered by theater assets with any degree of certainty related to intentions, judgments and similar intangibles. Sixteen theater EEI could not be satisfied by theater assets with high or moderate confidence within the time constraints specified by USEUCOM. Of these, national assets could contribute significantly to the satisfaction of nine. (Details regarding this conclusion are in Chapter V.)
25X1	(1) Four EEI that could not be satisfied by theater assets could be satisfied by national assets with high or moderate confidence and within the timeliness criteria. These four EEI focused on needs for information outside the area of operations and on capabilities.
25X1	(2) The remaining five of the nine EEI to which national systems could contribute significantly, and theater systems could not, concerned primarily weapons location and capabilities of enemy forces.
25X1	Recommendation: The capabilities of national intelligence assets identified in paragraphs Λ.6.a and Λ.6.b, Chapter V, should be evaluated, updated and tested in joint exercises to determine the extent to which they can support theater intelligence plans. Following the evaluation, and in coordination with USCINCEUR and the IC Staff, the JCS should recommend to the Secretary of Defense and Director of Central Intelligence specific options for theater support by these national intelligence systems.
25X1	g. Conclusion: Although the capabilities of theater intelligence assets decrease with distance from the combat zone, theater assets can make a significant contribution to national-level intelligence needs and can offset deficiencies in national capabilities to collect and report on combat operations. Specifically:
25X1 _,	(1) Forty theater EEI were of interest to national authorities. Theater assets had an even or better than even chance of satisfying 90 percent of these, assuming that timeliness requirements at the national level are the same as those of the combat forces.
25X1	(2) For the study scenario, theater assets appeared to be better able than national assets to satisfy about one-third of the theater EEI considered to be of equal national-level interest.
25X1	Recommendation: Theater intelligence capabilities should be explicitly considered in national intelligence planning for crisis and contingency situations.

5

TOP SECRET	
2. Future Actions	(Study Related)
a. Conclusions:	Computer programs and data base developed through
Reference.	e responsive to paragraph 2.b. of the Study Terms of
	design. There are a latter of the second
follow-on studies with a v	dation: These programs should be further refined during iew toward making them available for planning and
allocating intelligence asset	
EEI can be satisfied are con	The confidence factor judgments of the degree to which posites of the several questions into which each EEI can
study lack the specificity for	s, while acceptable for the limited purpose of the pilot
for intelligence planning an	r timeliness, accuracy and priority that would be needed
factored into specific question	dation: EEI for future studies of this type should be us. Capabilities judgments should be displayed for each
EEI and its component or	uestions. In addition, to accommodate assessment of
scenario-constrained capabi	lities, each EEI should be clearly related to the specific
scenario times and events to	which it applies.
	Periodic theater readiness assessments include theater
intelligence assets. Theater s	taffs understand the capabilities and limitations of these
assets, can assess their mis	sion impact, and have ready access to detailed data
concerning them. Initial as	sessments of theater capabilities for follow-on studies.
therefore, can be made best	in-theater.
☐ Recommend	ation: For follow-on studies, Unified & Specified (U&S)
commanders should be reque	ested to provide initial assessments of theater capabilities
dens best at the West at	ask of matching requirements to national assets would be
done best at the Washingto	
differentiate tactical nuclear	There is little confidence in current capabilities to munitions from other types of munitions in the combat
zone.	
Recommend	ation: A follow-on study should specifically address
capabilities to identify and o	tharacterize munition types in the combat zone.
e. Conclusions: 'appear to have worldwide a	There are three combat intelligence problem areas that
similar intangibles cannot b	gence relating to battlefield intentions, judgments, and e collected and reported with certainty.
(2) Intellig	ence capabilities of combat forces decrease with distance
from the combat zone.	
(3) Timelin	ness under combat conditions is one of the most difficult
	he most critical to the combat commander.
Recommend	ation: Follow-on studies should address these problem
in military doctrine and tact	v toward generalized solutions that can be incorporated
docume and tact	aco.

6

25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1

TOP SECRET

25X1	TOP SECRET
25X1	f. Conclusion: Actual experience factors were not available for the analysis of the capabilities of the entire range of collection, reporting and
25X1	communication systems. Recommendation: Capabilities based on actual experience, where they are not now available, should be identified in a future study.
25X1	g. Conclusion: The study organization—a study group of principals supported by two working groups, one focusing on national and the other on theater
25X1	capabilities and needs—adequately represented the divergent and often conflicting interests of the many organizations participating in the pilot study. Recommendation: The organization for any future studies should
25X1	ensure adequate representation for all divergent views. h Conclusion: The study was sponsored by the DCI and Secretary of
	Defense, and co-chaired by their representatives. Study participants dealt with matters of intelligence, operations and command and control. Honest differences regarding interaction between intelligence and operations in combat and associated
	resource allocations surfaced issues that could not be resolved at the level of the study
25X1	address basic issues.
25X1	i. Conclusion: Study and working group members participated in the pilot study as an additional duty, severely limiting the time they could devote to data collection and analysis for the study.
25X1	Recommendation: Depending upon the scope of any future study, consideration should be given to assignment of a nucleus of full-time personnel.

7

Next 211 Page(s) In Document Exempt